## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiffs,

4:18CR3088

VS.

ORDER

MAGDALENA CASTRO BENITEZ.

Defendant.

Defendant Benitez has moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline, (Filing No. 359), because Defendant needs additional time to investigate this case and prepare for trial. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (Filing No. 359), is granted.
- 2) As to all defendants, pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before February 1, 2019.
- The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and **as to all defendants**, the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and February 1, 2019, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1), (h)(6) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

s/Cheryl R. Zwart
United States Magistrate Judge